

SLO COORDINATING COMMITTEE
Notes
September 16, 2013 4:00 p.m. #AA-216

PRESENT: Jason Berner, Donna Floyd, Tammeil Gilkerson, Helen Kalkstein, Wayne Organ, Gabriela Segade (Chair), Greg Stoup (Guest), Kenyetta Tribble, Wendy Williams

| Topic | Discussion | Follow-Up |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Introductions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participants introduced themselves. | |
| Discussion of SLOA tracking tool. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wendy summarized the history of developing a tracking system for SLOA results so that the data can be aggregated. She described the software/formats that have been discussed, and introduced a form she created with Google Docs. The college now needs a database so that the data can be collected and analyzed. She gave examples of how the items on the form relate to questions that must be answered as part of the accreditation report. Wendy also emphasized the importance of having this information on a database so fields could auto-populate and faculty would not have to type the same information multiple times in different documents. • Greg Stoup explained that ACCJC looks for both direct and indirect assessment of GE SLOs, and that using courses that related to GE requirements is an indirect measure. • Tammeil raised questions about how course-level SLOs map onto institution-level SLOs (GE). She suggested the use of a common rubric to understand how different people are assessing GE SLOs, emphasized the need to be clear on what information we need to collect before choosing a database. • Wendy reported that Academic Senate had discussed the matter and decided that there would be a loose connection between GE SLOs as measured in individual courses and institution SLOs. • Tammeil thought SLOA results are already integrated into program planning because they are part of the Program Review Process. • Wendy pointed out that the data are not usable because they are not collected in a database. • Tammeil said the college could commit to putting the form online and connected to a database. • Greg Stoup mentioned that there are several colleges that have developed/used freeware for SLO tracking. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greg Stoup will send information about freeware used by other colleges. • James Eyestone will be invited to a future meeting to find out what capabilities we have right now. • Donna and Gabriela will meet to work out how SLOAs at different levels map onto institution-level outcomes. |
| Adjournment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m. | |

Notes taken by Gabriela Segade